



Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering

**DEVELOPMENT OF LUMBAR SUPPORT DEVICE USING
MALAYSIAN ANTHROPOMETRY DATABASE AND ITS PHYSICAL
AND PHYSIOLOGICAL EFFECTS**

Noor'Ain binti Azizan

Master of Science in Manufacturing Engineering

2020

**DEVELOPMENT OF LUMBAR SUPPORT DEVICE USING MALAYSIAN
ANTHROPOMETRY DATABASE AND ITS PHYSICAL AND PHYSIOLOGICAL
EFFECTS**

NOOR'AIN BINTI AZIZAN

**A thesis submitted
in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science
in Manufacturing Engineering**

Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

2020

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitled “Development of Lumbar Support Device Using Malaysian Anthropometry Database and Its Physical and Physiological Effects” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature :
Name :
Date :

APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Master of Science in Manufacturing Engineering.

Signature :

Supervisor Name :

Date :

DEDICATION

I dedicated this thesis to my parents. I hope that this achievement will complete their dream on me for all those many years ago when they chose to give me the best education they could. All of this achievement just for them.

Thank You So Much and Love Both of You Forever.

ABSTRACT

Nowadays, competitions between industries benefit the global economically. However, the workers were working repetitively in awkward posture and heavy lifting could potentially increase the cause of lower back pain (LBP) and musculoskeletal disorder (MSD). Intervention using the lumbar support system is among the methods that could solve this issue and improve the safety and comfort of the workers. Therefore, this research aims to focus on the development of the lumbar support device for industrial workers using the Malaysian anthropometric data. Currently, lumbar support is one of the tool examples which have been used to reduce the LBP issue in the industry, but how far this support device can effective to reduce this issue. Thus, the functionality of surface electromyography (sEMG) is used to detect muscle function or muscles activity through electrical stimulation. Consequently, the analysis of muscle of workers through sEMG was proposed to detect the functionality of an application of current lumbar support. The data were collected through surveys among 45 layup workers in the aerospace composite manufacturing industry. The qualitative and quantitative data were collected. From the data that were sorted, the respondents were validated using sEMG and heart rate monitor to detect the biomechanical factors that contribute to fatigue during working. Beforehand, the anthropometric data was developed in the early designing stage. The design of new lumbar support was followed process of anthropometry data collection, design, material selection and validation. The manipulated variables are the workers that without, with current and new lumbar support device. The sEMG data can measure and validate the muscles activity values during work based on these three variables. From this study, the level of pain faced by workers in moderate and very pain at lower back muscle was 59% and 17% of workers. In biomechanical factors of sEMG and heart rate (HR) have a significant effect on HR3 and HR4 at the end of the working day. The parameter that used in designing new lumbar support device were biacromial breath, neck height, chest height, waist height, trochanterion height, chest circumference, waist circumference, buttock circumference, and scye circumference. The result of this work is producing the comparison data to design the new lumbar support device, the reducing of amplitude value was 60.57% compared to workers that not wearing this device while 56.33% compared to workers that wearing current lumbar support device. This device resulted to reduce the LBP problem in industries and methods of this study contributed to society which are anthropometric database and muscle activities.

ABSTRAK

Pada masa kini, persaingan antara industri memberi manfaat kepada ekonomi global. Walau bagaimanapun, pekerja harus bekerja berulang-ulang dalam posisi yang tidak baik dan mengangkat berat berpotensi dapat meningkatkan sakit belakang dan gangguan muskuloskeletal (MSD). Penggunaan sistem sokongan lumbar adalah antara kaedah yang mungkin dapat menyelesaikan masalah ini dan meningkatkan keselamatan dan keselesaan para pekerja. Oleh itu, matlamat kajian ini bertujuan untuk memberi tumpuan kepada perekaan sokongan lumbar bagi pekerja perindustrian dengan menggunakan data antropometri Malaysia. Pada masa ini, sokongan lumbar adalah satu contoh alat intervensi yang telah digunakan untuk mengurangkan masalah sakit belakang di dalam industri tetapi sejauh mana peranti sokongan ini berkesan untuk mengurangkan masalah ini. Oleh itu, fungsi elektromilogi permukaan (sEMG) digunakan untuk mengesan fungsi atau aktiviti otot melalui rangsangan elektrik. Oleh itu, analisis tentang otot pekerja menggunakan sEMG dicadangkan bagi mengesan fungsi penggunaan sokongan lumbar pasaran. Data dikumpulkan berdasarkan uji kaji di kalangan 45 pekerja dalam industri komposit aeroangkasa. Data kualitatif dan kuantitatif dikumpulkan. Dari data yang disusun, responden yang terpilih membuat uji kaji akan menggunakan monitor kadar jantung dan sEMG untuk mengesan faktor biomekanik yang menyumbang kepada kelesuan semasa bekerja. Sebelum itu, data antropometri telah dikaji dalam merancang di peringkat rekaan. Reka bentuk sokongan lumbar baru menggunakan data anthropometri, reka bentuk, pemilihan bahan dan pengesahan produk. Pembolehubah yang dimanipulasi adalah pekerja tanpa sokongan lumbar, dengan sokongan semasa dan baru. Data sEMG permukaan dapat mengukur dan mengesahkan nilai aktiviti otot ketika bekerja berdasarkan tiga pembolehubah ini. Dari kajian ini, tahap kesakitan yang dihadapi oleh pekerja dalam sederhana sakit dan sangat sakit pada otot belakang adalah 59% dan 17% bilangan pekerja. Dalam faktor biomekanik, sEMG dan kadar denyutan jantung (HR) mempunyai kesan yang signifikan terhadap HR3 dan HR4 pada penghujung pekerjaan. Parameter yang digunakan dalam mereka bentuk peranti sokongan lumbar baru adalah "biacromial breath, neck height, chest height, waist height, trochanterion height, chest circumference, waist circumference, buttock circumference, and scye circumference". Hasil kerja ini menghasilkan data perbandingan untuk merancang peranti sokongan lumbar baru, pengurangan nilai amplitud adalah 60.57% berbanding pekerja yang tidak memakai alat ini manakala 56.33% berbanding pekerja yang memakai peranti sokongan lumbar kini. Peranti ini dijangka dapat mengurangkan masalah sakit belakang di industri. Kaedah kajian ini dapat menyumbang kepada masyarakat yang merupakan data antropometrik dan aktiviti otot.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the most gracious and merciful, Alhamdulillah, I can also complete this scientific study promptly. I would like to extend my gratitude to my parents and family members who often give encouragement and strength in me to complete this study.

I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere appreciation to my supervisor, Pn Ruzy Haryati Hambali, who has often been my backbone for all the help, guidance, and counsel that builds on this study. Her service is like a lamp that illuminates the path throughout this study.

Furthermore, not all the academic staff, technical staff from the Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka, are thankful for all the assistance that has been provided. Not forgetting also thanks to the students Mohammad Firdaus Ani and Siti Khadijah from doctorate program and Nur Syafiqah Rahyme master's degree program who are always ready to share their information and knowledge throughout the experiments and scientific studies. Thank also to the sponsor by the industry that allows the experiment taken in their reality. This support from our lecture with CTRM and AMIC made this experiment done easily.

Finally, to all parties involved directly and indirectly in this study, I would also like to express my gratitude.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
DECLARATION	
APPROVAL	
DEDICATION	
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF APPENDICES	xx
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxi
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	xxii
 CHAPTER	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research background	1
1.2 Problem statement	3
1.3 Research objectives	5
1.4 Research scopes	6
1.5 Hypothesis / research questions	6
1.6 Significance of research	7
 2. LITERATURE REVIEW	 9
2.1 Composite manufacturing	9
2.1.1 Working posture	10
2.1.2 Diseases and injuries that faced by workers	10
2.2 Lower back pain problem	11
2.2.1 Cause of lower back pain	12
2.2.2 Prevention of lower back pain	12
2.3 Lumbar support device	14
2.3.1 Case study of lumbar support	14
2.3.2 Methods used in investigate functionality	16
2.3.3 Type of lumbar support	17
2.3.4 Belt support design and material	20
2.4 Psychophysical experience	24
2.5 Biomechanical	25
2.5.1 Surface electromyography	25
2.5.2 Heart rate	27
2.6 Anthropometric	28
2.6.1 Method used to measure anthropometric data	28

2.6.2	Application of anthropometric data	29
2.7	Acupuncture point for magnet therapy	30
2.8	Finite element analysis	31
2.8.1	Stress-strain analysis	32
2.9	Research study of decision making in selecting design	33
2.10	Research gap	34
2.11	Research study of commercial belt support in conceptual design	41
2.12	Summary	42
3.	METHODOLOGY	43
3.1	Project planning	43
3.2	Overview of project	44
3.3	Data collection	47
3.3.1	Questionnaire development	48
3.3.2	Pilot survey	48
3.3.3	Final design of the questionnaire	50
3.4	Heart rate monitor	51
3.4.1	Monitor setup	52
3.5	Anthropometry method	53
3.5.1	Equipment for anthropometry	54
3.5.2	Data collection of anthropometry	55
3.5.3	Analysis of anthropometric database	57
3.6	Muscle activity of workers	58
3.6.1	Design of experiment of muscle activity in the layup department	59
3.6.2	Muscles that related to lower back pain	61
3.6.3	Experiment setup and tools	62
3.6.4	Analysis towards muscles activity of workers	65
3.7	Design and development of lumbar support device	66
3.7.1	Identify customer needs	67
3.7.2	Establish target specification	70
3.7.3	Generate product concepts	70
3.7.4	Select product concepts	71
3.7.4.1	Screening method	71
3.7.4.2	Scoring method	72
3.7.5	Set final specification	73
3.7.6	Test product concepts	73
3.7.7	Fabrication	74
3.7.7.1	Sewing method	74
3.7.7.2	Additive manufacturing	75
3.8	Methods in validate the new lumbar support device	75
3.8.1	Comfortability	76
3.8.2	Muscle activity	76

3.8.2.1	Experiment of muscle activity of subjects without, with current and new lumbar support device	77
3.8.2.2	Laboratory study	77
3.9	Summary	78
4.	RESULT AND DISCUSSION	80
4.1	Questionnaire analysis	80
4.1.1	Part A: General information and working activities	81
4.1.2	Part B: Level of pain at body parts	86
4.1.3	Part C: Satisfaction of current lumbar support device	89
4.1.4	Part D: Medical treatment and history	91
4.2	Anthropometric database	93
4.2.1	Analysis of anthropometric databased	94
4.2.2	Application of database in the designing process	96
4.3	Heart rate analysis	97
4.3.1	Effect of working time towards heart rate of workers	97
4.3.2	Effect of level of discomfort and heart rate	100
4.4	Muscle activity analysis	101
4.4.1	Analysis towards muscle activity of layup production workers	101
4.4.1.1	Muscle activity of normal BMI subjects	102
4.4.1.2	Muscle activity of overweight BMI subjects	107
4.4.1.3	Muscle activity of obese BMI subjects	112
4.4.2	Analysis the influence of muscles towards BMI categories	118
4.4.3	Significant effect of BMI categories and periods taken of the muscles activity	120
4.4.4	Significant effect of muscle activity and heart rate of workers	122
4.5	Analysis of customer needs	124
4.5.1	Knowledge about lumbar support device	124
4.5.2	Experiences on wearing the lumbar support device	125
4.5.3	Without the experience of wearing the lumbar support device	129
4.5.4	Requirements for future design	131
4.6	Design selection	133
4.6.1	House of quality	133
4.6.2	Design concept	137
4.6.3	Pugh matrix design selection	143
4.6.3.1	Screening matrix	144
4.6.3.2	Scoring matrix	146
4.6.4	Final design	147
4.6.4.1	Vest part	148
4.6.4.2	Zipper part	148

4.6.4.3	Support plate part	149
4.6.4.4	“S” spine support	150
4.6.4.5	Strap tape in breast	151
4.6.4.6	Strap tape in waist	152
4.6.4.7	Therapy magnetic	153
4.6.4.8	Assembly of new lumbar support device	153
4.7	Material selection	154
4.7.1	Selection of material using CES software	154
4.7.1.1	Material of vest	155
4.7.1.2	Material of “S” spine support	159
4.7.1.3	Material of support plate	163
4.7.1.4	Material of strap in breast	166
4.7.2	Finite element analysis of part	170
4.8	Fabrication	172
4.8.1	Functionality of parts and materials of lumbar support	172
4.8.2	Bill of materials	175
4.9	Validation of new lumbar support device	176
4.9.1	Validation the comfortability	177
4.9.2	Validation of muscles activity	180
4.10	Summary	190
5.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	194
5.1	Conclusions	194
5.2	Contributions to knowledge and science	196
5.3	Future works	197
	REFERENCES	199
	APPENDICES	229

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Statistics of diseases reported for 2018 (until September) (Idris, 2018b)	4
2.1	Average of heart rate by age (American Heart Association, 2016)	27
2.2	Summary of research gap	36
3.1	Relationship between objectives and methodology	47
3.2	Heart rate monitoring sheet (example)	53
3.3	List of dimensions name of each parameter	56
3.4	DOE of muscle activity experiment in layup process (confidential process)	61
3.5	Description of periods of EMG signal taken	64
3.6	Screening table	72
3.7	Scoring table	72
3.8	The manipulated variables in validating the lumbar support device	76
3.9	Expected result of this research	79
4.1	Percentile of layup production based on designing parameters	95
4.2	Range of existing lumbar support device (MyBarong, 2019)	97
4.3	Average of heart rate in beat per minutes (bpm)	99

4.4	Significant of BMI categories and working periods of muscle activity	121
4.5	Significant of heart rate and muscle activity	123
4.6	The needs-metrics table	134
4.7	Concept combination table for lumbar support	137
4.8	Advantages and disadvantages of Concept Design 1	139
4.9	Advantages and disadvantages of Concept Design 2	139
4.10	Advantages and disadvantages of Concept Design 3	140
4.11	Advantages and disadvantages of Concept Design 4	141
4.12	Advantages and disadvantages of Concept Design 5	142
4.13	Advantages and disadvantages of Concept Design 6	143
4.14	The concept screening matrix	144
4.15	The concept scoring matrix	146
4.16	Criteria and description needs for vest part	155
4.17	Screening of material for vest part	156
4.18	Ranking of material and properties for vest part	156
4.19	Criteria and description needs for “S” spine support part	159
4.20	Screening of material for “S” spine support part	160
4.21	Ranking of material and properties for “S” spine support part	161
4.22	Criteria and description needs for support plate part	163
4.23	Screening of material for support plate part	164
4.24	Ranking of material and properties for support plate part	164
4.25	Criteria and description needs for strap in breast part	167
4.26	Screening of material for strap in breast part	167

4.27	Ranking of material and properties for strap in breast part	168
4.28	Material and function of each part of lumbar support	173
4.29	BOM of lumbar support device	176
4.30	Summarization of development of lumbar support device	191

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Occupational injuries in manufacturing sector increase day by day (Idris, 2018a)	3
2.1	Region that related to LBP (Nayar and Shroff, 2016)	11
2.2	Belt support type (PhysioRoom, 2017a)	17
2.3	Braces support type (PhysioRoom, 2017b)	18
2.4	Chair back support type (Quill.com, 2017)	19
2.5	Car back support type (OFTP, 2017)	19
2.6	Dual Pull Elastic Crisscross Lumbosacral Belt (Amazon, 2017a)	20
2.7	Adjustable Back Support with Shoulder Straps (ezgiz.com, 2017)	21
2.8	Posture S' Port Back Support (Amazon.com, 2017b)	22
2.9	Double Pull Waist Elastic Belt (Qoo10.sg, 2017)	22
2.10	Soft from Posture Control Brace (Amazon.com, 2017c)	23
2.11	Bauerfeind LordoLoc Back Support (Health and Care, 2017)	23
2.12	Therall Heat Retaining Back Support (Amazon, 2017d)	24
2.13	The contraction of the muscle (Open textbooks, 2009)	26
2.14	The magnetic field reacts to blood flow through capillary (Peripheral Neuropathy Treatments, 2019)	30

2.15	Acupuncture point related to LBP problem (Awakening Balance Acupuncture & Traditional Chinese Medicine, 2019)	31
2.16	The example of stress distribution (Duca, 2014)	32
3.1	Process flow of the research	45
3.2	Flow chart of development of questionnaire	48
3.3	Percentage of respondents with working activities	49
3.4	Discomfort/ pain level for each body parts	50
3.5	GARMIN Forerunner 620 and chest strap (Garmin, 2019a)	52
3.6	Chest strap attach directly to skin (LackeSep, 2018)	53
3.7	Anthropometer set (Mentone Educational, 2019)	54
3.8	Measuring tape (Northern Tool, 2019)	54
3.9	Weighing scale (hotpoint.co.ke, 2019)	55
3.10	Ruler (“Wood ruler”, 2019)	55
3.11	The parameter of anthropometry database	56
3.12	Illustration of DOE	60
3.13	Muscles that involve in this experiment	62
3.14	Example of EMG sensor setup on skin	64
3.15	Illustration sEMG on the involved muscles	65
3.16	Process flow of design and development of lumbar support	66
3.17	Discomfort level of subject wearing current lumbar support	68
3.18	Feedback/ improvement suggestion on current lumbar support	69
3.19	Subject interest feedback on type of lumbar support	69
3.20	Experimental design process for validation of lumbar support with EMG	77

3.21	Illustrate on measuring the muscle activity without and with lumbar support	77
4.1	Percentage of respondents according to the average age	81
4.2	Percentage of respondents according to the BMI	82
4.3	Percentage of respondents according to working mode	83
4.4	Percentage of respondents according to their working hours	84
4.5	Percentage of respondents that smoking	84
4.6	Percentage of respondents according to their working activity	85
4.7	Percentage of respondents according to level of pain for left side of body	86
4.8	Percentage of respondents according to level of pain for right side of body	87
4.9	Percentage of respondents according to duration of current pain	87
4.10	Percentage of respondents according to period of pain in the body part within 12 months	88
4.11	Percentage of respondents according to prevent back to normal work	89
4.12	Percentage of respondents according to experience in wearing lumbar support device	90
4.13	Percentage of the respondent according to satisfying with current lumbar support device	90
4.14	Percentage of respondents according to treatment to relieving the pain	91

4.15	Percentage of respondents used treatment in relief their pain/ discomfort	92
4.16	Percentage of respondents have faced an accident	93
4.17	Percentage of respondents have faced a surgery	93
4.18	Measuring the dimension of subject's body	94
4.19	Basic measurement in designing lumbar support device	96
4.20	Heart for a whole day refer in GARMIN Connect website	98
4.21	Percentage of respondents according to heart rate	100
4.22	Filtered EMG signal and RMS for one of the layup workers	102
4.23	RMS value according to thoracic erector spine muscles for subjects with normal BMI	103
4.24	RMS value according to lumbar erector spine muscles for subjects with normal BMI	104
4.25	RMS value according to multifidus lumbar muscles for subjects with normal BMI	105
4.26	RMS value according to gastrocnemius muscles for subjects with normal BMI	106
4.27	RMS value according to soleus muscles for subjects with normal BMI	107
4.28	RMS value according to thoracic erector spine muscles for subjects with overweight BMI	108
4.29	RMS value according to lumbar erector spine muscles for subjects with overweight BMI	109

4.30	RMS value according to multifidus lumbar muscles for subjects with overweight BMI	110
4.31	RMS value according to gastrocnemius muscles for subjects with overweight BMI	111
4.32	RMS value according to soleus muscles for subjects with overweight BMI	112
4.33	RMS value according to thoracic erector spine muscles for subjects with obese BMI	113
4.34	RMS value according to lumbar erector spine muscles for subjects with obese BMI	114
4.35	RMS value according to multifidus lumbar muscles for subjects with obese BMI	115
4.36	RMS value according to gastrocnemius muscles for subjects with obese BMI	116
4.37	RMS value according to soleus muscles for subjects with obese BMI	117
4.38	Muscles activity value of respondents according to BMI categories	118
4.39	Muscles activity of overweight and obese according to normal weight trendline	120
4.40	Percentage of respondents according to the experiences of wearing lumbar support devices	125
4.41	Percentage of respondents according to reasons in wearing lumbar support	126
4.42	Percentage of respondents according to uncomfortable situations	127

4.43	Reference in selecting the level of discomfort for the selected parts of body	128
4.44	Percentage of respondents according to level of discomfort wearing current lumbar support device	128
4.45	Percentage of respondents according to suggestion improvement	129
4.46	Percentage of respondents according to reasons in not wearing lumbar support device	130
4.47	Percentage of respondents according to an interested in lumbar support device	130
4.48	Percentage of respondents' requirements for future design	131
4.49	Types of future design in questionnaire	132
4.50	Percentage of respondents' interest according to types of lumbar support in future	132
4.51	House of quality table	136
4.52	Concept Design 1	138
4.53	Concept Design 2	139
4.54	Concept Design 3	140
4.55	Concept Design 4	141
4.56	Concept Design 5	142
4.57	Concept Design 6	143
4.58	New Concept Design 2-4	145
4.59	Revised Concept Design 3+	145
4.60	Design part of vest	148
4.61	Design part of zipper	149

4.62	Design part of support plate	150
4.63	Design part of support spine base	151
4.64	Design part of support spine curve	151
4.65	Design part of strap tape in breast	152
4.66	Design part of strap tape in waist	152
4.67	Design part of magnetic therapy	153
4.68	Assembly of new lumbar support device	154
4.69	The relationship of yield strength and density for vest material	157
4.70	The relationship of water absorption and permeability of oxygen for vest material	158
4.71	The relationship of yield strength and density for “S” spine support material	161
4.72	The relationship of tensile strength and fatigue strength in 10^7 cycles for “S” spine support material	162
4.73	The relationship of yield strength and density for support plate material	165
4.74	The relationship of tensile strength and fatigue strength in 10^7 cycles for the support plate material	166
4.75	The relationship of yield strength and density for strap tape in breast material	169
4.76	The relationship of yield strength and elongation for strap tape in breast material	170
4.77	Von Mises stress distribution (a) and maximum principal stress distribution (b) of “S” spine support	171

4.78	Von Mises stress distribution (a) and maximum principle stress distribution (b) of support plate	171
4.79	Von Mises stress distribution (a) and maximum principal stress distribution (b) of the bucket	172
4.80	New lumbar support product	175
4.81	Percentage of respondents according to three variables and discomfort level of part body	178
4.82	Percentage of respondents according to experience while wearing new lumbar support device	178
4.83	Percentage of respondents according to experienced design in term of comfortable	179
4.84	Percentage of respondents according to experience of design in term of functionality	180
4.85	Comparison of muscle activity and lumbar support variables in right thoracic erector spine	181
4.86	Comparison of muscle activity and lumbar support variables in left thoracic erector spine muscle	182
4.87	Comparison muscle activity and lumbar support variables in right lumbar erector spine muscle	183
4.88	Comparison muscle activity and lumbar support variables in left lumbar erector spine muscle	184
4.89	Comparison of muscle activity and lumbar support variables in right multifidus lumbar muscle	185

4.90	Comparison of muscle activity and lumbar support variables in left multifidus lumbar muscle	186
4.91	Comparison of muscle activity and lumbar support variables in right gastrocnemius muscle	187
4.92	Comparison of muscle activity and lumbar support variables in left gastrocnemius muscle	188
4.93	Comparison of muscle activity and lumbar support variables in right soleus muscle	189
4.94	Comparison of muscle activity and lumbar support variables in left soleus muscle	190